

FACTORIZATIONS OF POLYNOMIALS OVER NONCOMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS AND SUFFICIENT SETS OF EDGES IN DIRECTED GRAPHS

ISRAEL GELFAND, SERGEI GELFAND, VLADIMIR
RETAKH, AND ROBERT LEE WILSON

To the memory of Felix Alexandrovich Berezin

ABSTRACT. To directed graphs with unique sink and source we associate a noncommutative associative algebra and a polynomial over this algebra. Edges of the graph correspond to pseudo-roots of the polynomial. We give a sufficient condition when coefficients of the polynomial can be rationally expressed via elements of a given set of pseudo-roots (edges). Our results are based on a new theorem for directed graphs also proved in this paper.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let R be an associative ring with unit and $P(t) = a_0t^n + a_1t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$ be polynomial in $R[t]$. Here t is an independent central variable. Recall [GGRSW] that an element $x \in R$ is a *pseudo-root* of $P(t)$ if there exist polynomials $Q_1(t), Q_2(t) \in R[t]$ such that

$$P(t) = Q_1(t)(t - x)Q_2(t).$$

The element x is a *right root* of $P(t)$ if $Q_2(t) = 1$ and is a *left root* of $P(t)$ if $Q_1(t) = 1$. It is easy to check that x is a right root of $P(t)$ if and only if $a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$. Similarly, x is a left root if and only if $x^n a_0 + x^{n-1} a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 0$.

For noncommutative R , a theory of polynomials over R should be based not only on properties of right (left) roots but on pseudo-roots as well.

Suppose now that $P(t)$ is a monic polynomial (i. e., $a_0 = 1$). We say that a subset Y of pseudo-roots of $P(t)$ is a *defining set* if $P(t)$ can be factored as $P(t) = (t - y_n)(t - y_{n-1}) \cdots (t - y_1)$, where $y_k \in Y$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E05; 15A15; 16W30.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative Polynomials, Pseudo-roots, Directed Graphs.

Vladimir Retakh was partially supported by NSA

When R is a commutative integral domain, the set of pseudo-roots of $P(t)$ coincides with the set of roots X . In this case if $\text{card}(X) = n$ then X is a defining set and the coefficients of $P(t)$ can be written as polynomial expressions in $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ (the Viète theorem).

When R is not commutative one faces new phenomena. To describe these we introduce the following notation. For a subset $Y \subseteq R$, denote by $R(Y)$ the subring generated by Y and by $\tilde{R}(Y)$ the subring of all $r \in R$ such that r can be written as a rational expression in $y \in Y$. Then

- (1) The coefficients of $P(t)$ can be written only as *rational* expressions in left or right roots of $P(t)$ (see [GR1] and other papers [GR2, GGRW]). More precisely, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ can be written as polynomial expressions in $z \in Z$ where Z is a defining set of pseudo-roots of $P(t)$, but elements $z \in Z$ can only be expressed *rationally* in terms of left or right roots of $P(t)$;
- (2) There might be a large number of pseudo-roots: if a polynomial $P(t)$ of degree n has n right roots in a generic position (see section 1.2) then $P(t)$ has at least $n2^{n-1}$ pseudo-roots;
- (3) Not any subset Y of pseudo-roots of $P(t)$ of cardinality greater or equal n is a defining set. Moreover, the subring $\tilde{R}(Y)$ (and, therefore, $R(Y)$) may not even contain a defining set.

In this paper we study the following problem: describe subsets Y of pseudo-roots of a monic polynomial $P(t)$ such that the subring $\tilde{R}(Y)$ contains a defining subset of pseudo-roots of $P(t)$. In fact, we replace $\tilde{R}(Y)$ by a smaller subring containing $R(Y)$. However, item (1) above indicates that a consideration of subrings $R(Y)$ for this problem is too restrictive.

Surprisingly enough, for a large class of noncommutative algebras the answer may be given in terms of properties of directed graphs.

The content of the paper is the following. In Section 1 we formulate our results for the universal algebra of pseudo-roots of polynomials over noncommutative algebras. In Section 2 we introduce a class of noncommutative algebras associated with directed graphs and show their relations with factorizations of noncommutative polynomials. In Section 3 we introduce *sufficient sets* of edges in directed graphs, study properties of the sufficient sets of edges, and apply those properties for a description of sufficient sets of pseudo-roots of noncommutative polynomials. We believe that the results obtained in this section are of an interest for a “pure theory” of directed graphs.

1. MAIN EXAMPLE: UNIVERSAL ALGEBRA OF PSEUDO-ROOTS

1.1. Algebra Q_n . The universal algebra of pseudo-roots Q_n over a field k was introduced in [GRW] and studied in [GGR, GGRSW, SW, P]. Algebra Q_n was used to construct a natural family of defining sets of pseudo-roots for noncommutative

polynomials.

Recall that generators of Q_n are elements $x_{A,i}$ where $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $i \notin A$. The defining relations in Q_n are as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad x_{A \cup \{i\}, j} + x_{A, i} = x_{A \cup \{j\}, i} + x_{A, j},$$

$$(1.2) \quad x_{A \cup \{i\}, j} \cdot x_{A, i} = x_{A \cup \{j\}, i} \cdot x_{A, j}$$

for each $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $i, j \notin A$, $i \neq j$. We will call the generators $x_{A,i}$ the pseudo-roots.

There is a canonical polynomial $\mathcal{P}(t) \in Q_n[t]$ having $x_{A,i}$'s as its pseudo-roots. Let $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ be any ordering of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Set $A_1 = \emptyset$, $A_2 = \{i_1\}$, $A_3 = \{i_1, i_2\}$, $\dots$, $A_n = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}\}$. Set

$$\mathcal{P}(t) = (t - x_{A_n, i_n})(t - x_{A_{n-1}, i_{n-1}}) \dots (t - x_{A_1, i_1}).$$

Theorem 1.1.1. ([GRW]) *Polynomial $\mathcal{P}(t)$ does not depend on an ordering of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.*

Theorem 1.1.2. ([GRW]) *There exists the canonical epimorphism*

$$c : Q_n \rightarrow F[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$$

given by the formulas $c(x_{A,i}) = t_i$.

Here F is the ground field, $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ are independent commuting variables.

1.2. Universality of algebra Q_n . Let R be an associative algebra and $P(t) \in R[t]$ be a monic polynomial of degree n . Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of right roots of $P(t)$. We call the set X *generic* if the Vandermonde matrix

$$V(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1}) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{i_1}^k & x_{i_2}^k & \dots & x_{i_{k+1}}^k \\ & & \dots & \\ x_{i_1} & x_{i_2} & \dots & x_{i_{k+1}} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible in R for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and distinct $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1} \leq n$.

In this case one can define the Vandermonde quasideterminants $v(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1})$ ([GR1, GR2, GGRW] as follows. Set r to be the row matrix $(x_{i_1}^k, x_{i_2}^k, \dots, x_{i_k}^k)$ and c to be the column matrix $(x_{i_{k+1}}^{k-1}, x_{i_{k+1}}^{k-2}, \dots, 1)^T$. Then

$$v(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1}) = x_{i_{k+1}}^k - rV(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)^{-1}c.$$

Example. $v(1, 2) = x_2 - x_1$.

The following proposition follows from the general properties of quasideterminants ([GR1, GR2, GRRW]).

Proposition 1.2.1. *If X is a generic set then all quasideterminants $v(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1})$ are defined and invertible in R .*

Theorem 1.2.2. [GRW] *If $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a generic set of right roots of a monic polynomial $P(t) \in R[t]$ of degree n then there exists a canonical homomorphism $\phi : Q_n \rightarrow R$ such that $\phi(x_{\emptyset, k}) = x_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Moreover,

$$\phi(x_{\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}, i_{k+1}}) = v(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1}) x_{i_{k+1}} v(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1})^{-1}$$

and the canonical extension of ϕ to the homomorphism of $Q_n[t]$ to $R[t]$ maps $\mathcal{P}(t)$ to $P(t)$.

By abusing notation we denote elements $\phi(x_{\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}, i}) \in R$ via $x_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, i}$.

Corollary 1.2.3. *For a generic set X and an ordering $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ set $y_1 = x_{i_1}$, $y_2 = x_{i_1, i_2}$, $\dots$, $y_n = x_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n-1}, i_n}$. Then $P(t) = (t - y_n)(t - y_{n-1}) \dots (t - y_1)$.*

Corollary 1.2.3 implies that $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a defining set of pseudo-roots of $P(t)$.

Example. If $n = 2$ then $P(t) = (t - x_{i,j})(t - x_i)$, where $x_{i,j} = (x_j - x_i)x_j(x_j - x_i)^{-1}$, $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

If $n = 3$ then $P(t) = (t - x_{ij,k})(t - x_{i,j})(t - x_k)$, where

$$x_{ij,k} = (x_{i,k} - x_{i,j})x_{i,k}(x_{i,k} - x_{i,j})^{-1} = (x_{j,k} - x_{j,i})x_{j,k}(x_{j,k} - x_{j,i})^{-1}.$$

Here $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ and i, j, k are all distinct.

Note that elements y_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are rational expressions in $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}$ and do not depend on ordering of $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}$.

1.3. Algebras Q_n and graphs. For each natural n we define the directed graph Γ_n as follows. The vertices of Γ_n are the subsets of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (including the empty subset). The edges of Γ_n are all directed pairs of subsets $(A \cup \{i\}, A)$, where $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $i \notin A$; we denote such an edge by (A, i) . The subset $A \cup \{i\}$ is the tail of the edge (A, i) and the subset A is the head of (A, i) .

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the generators $x_{A,i}$ of algebra Q_n and the edges (A, i) of the graph Γ_n . Note that two edges (A, i) and (B, j) have a common head if $A = B$ and a common tail if $A \cup \{i\} = B \cup \{j\}$. Also, the generators occurring in relations (1.1) and (1.2) for the algebra Q_n correspond to the edges of the diamond $\mathcal{D}$ in Γ_n with vertices $A, A \cup \{i\}, A \cup \{j\}, A \cup \{i, j\}$.

Definition 1.3.1. We say that the edge (A, i) in $\mathcal{D}$ is obtained by the D -operation from the ordered pair of edges $(A \cup \{i\}, j)$ and $(A \cup \{j\}, i)$ and the edge $A \cup \{i\}, j)$ is obtained by the U -operation from the ordered pair of edges $(A, i), (A, j)$.

Note that (A, j) is obtained by the D -operation from the pair of edges $(A \cup \{j\}, i)$ and $(A \cup \{i\}, j)$ and the edge $A \cup \{j\}, i)$ is obtained by the U -operation from the ordered pair of edges $(A, j), (A, i)$.

Definition 1.3.2. We say that a pseudo-root $\xi \in Q_n$ is obtained from an ordered pair of pseudo-roots $x_{A,i}, x_{B,j}$ by the u -operation if the edges $(A, i), (B, j)$ have a common head and $(x_{A,i} - x_{B,j})x_{A,i} = \xi(x_{A,i} - x_{B,j})$.

A pseudo-root $\eta \in Q_n$ is obtained from an ordered pair of pseudo-roots $x_{A,i}, x_{B,j}$ by the d -operation if the edges $(A, i), (B, j)$ have a common tail and $(x_{A,i} - x_{B,j})\eta = x_{A,i}(x_{A,i} - x_{B,j})$.

A connection between d - and u -operations in Q_n and D - and U -operations in Γ_n is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 1.3.3. *The element $x_{A \cup \{i\}, j}$ is obtained by the u -operation from the pair $x_{A,i}, x_{A,j}$.*

The element $x_{A,i}$ is obtained by the d -operation from the pair $x_{A \cup \{j\}, i}, x_{A \cup \{i\}, j}$.

Proof. Look at the diamond $\mathcal{D}$ and use formulas (1.1) and (1.2).

1.4. Sufficient sets of pseudo-roots in Q_n . Let Z be a subset of pseudo-roots in Q_n .

Definition 1.4.1. The set of elements in Q_n that can be obtained from elements of Z by successive applications of d - and u -operations is called the du -envelope of Z .

The following proposition is obvious.

Proposition 1.4.2. *Let $f : Q_n \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism of Q_n into a division ring D . If $r \in Q_n$ belongs to a du -envelope of Z then $f(r)$ can be written as a rational expression in elements belonging to $f(Z)$.*

Remark. In fact, $f(r)$ can be obtained from elements $f(z), z \in Z$ by operations of addition, subtractions, multiplication, left conjugation $(a, b) \mapsto (a - b)a(a - b)^{-1}$ and right conjugation $(a, b) \mapsto (a - b)^{-1}a(a - b)$.

Recall that a subset Y of pseudo-roots of $\mathcal{P}(t)$ is a *defining set* if $\mathcal{P}(t)$ can be factorized as $\mathcal{P}(t) = (t - y_n)(t - y_{n-1}) \dots (t - y_1)$, where $y_k \in Y$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Definition 1.4.3. A set $Z \subseteq Q_n$ is called *sufficient* if the du -envelope of Z contains a defining set of pseudo-roots of $\mathcal{P}(t)$.

By Proposition 1.4.2, instead of asking when the rational envelope of a set W of pseudo-roots of a monic polynomial $P(t) \in D[t]$ contains a defining set of pseudo-roots of $P(t)$ we ask a more restrictive question: when the set W is an image of a sufficient set of pseudo-roots in Q_n ?

Any defining set of elements is a sufficient set. Other examples of sufficient sets in Q_n are given by the following statement.

Proposition 1.4.4. *The sets $\{x_{\emptyset,k}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\{x_{12\dots\hat{k}\dots n,k}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are sufficient in Q_n for $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Use successively Proposition 1.3.3.

A necessary condition of a subset in Q_n to be sufficient for $\mathcal{P}(t)$ is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4.5. *If $Z = \{x_{A_1,i_1}, x_{A_2,i_2}, \dots, x_{A_n,i_n}\}$ is a sufficient subset of Q_n then all $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ are distinct.*

Proof. Let R be a commutative algebra without zero divisors, $P(t)$ be a monic polynomial over R and $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ a generic set of right roots of $P(t)$. According to Theorem 1.2.2 there exists a homomorphism $\phi : Q_n \rightarrow R$ such that $\phi(x_{A_k,i_k}) = x_{i_k}$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $\hat{Z}$ be the du -envelope of Z . Clearly, $\phi(Z) = \phi(\hat{Z})$. Therefore, $\phi(Z)$ is a defining set in R . It implies that $\phi(Z) = X$ and so all $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ are distinct.

A set of edges in a directed graph is connected if it is connected in the associated non-directed graph (see Section 3 below for details).

Theorem 1.4.6. *Let $Z = \{x_{A_1,i_1}, x_{A_2,i_2}, \dots, x_{A_n,i_n}\}$ be a subset of Q_n such that all $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ are distinct. If the set of edges $\{(A_1, i_1), (A_2, i_2), \dots, (A_n, i_n)\}$ in Γ_n is connected then the set Z is sufficient.*

Let $f : Q_n \rightarrow D$ be a homomorphism of Q_n into a division ring D , $\hat{f} : Q_n[t] \rightarrow D[t]$ be the induced homomorphism of the polynomial rings and $P(t) = \hat{f}(\mathcal{P}(t))$.

Corollary 1.4.7. *Let $Z = \{x_{A_1,i_1}, x_{A_2,i_2}, \dots, x_{A_n,i_n}\}$ be a subset of Q_n such that all $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ are distinct and the set of edges $\{(A_1, i_1), (A_2, i_2), \dots, (A_n, i_n)\}$ in Γ_n is connected.*

Then all coefficients of $P(t) \in D[t]$ can be obtained from elements $f(z)$, $z \in Z$ by operations of addition, subtractions, multiplication, and left and right conjugation.

Examples. 1. The set $X = \{x_{\emptyset,1}, x_{\emptyset,2}, \dots, x_{\emptyset,n}\}$ is connected (the corresponding edges have a common head $\emptyset$). Therefore, X is a sufficient set.

2. For $n = 2$ the sufficient sets are $\{x_{\{i\},j}, x_{\emptyset,i}\}$, $\{x_{\emptyset,j}, x_{\emptyset,i}\}$, $\{x_{\{i\},j}, x_{\{j\},i}\}$. The sets $\{x_{\{i\},j}, x_{\emptyset,j}\}$ are not sufficient. Here $i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$.

3. Let $n = 3$. The set $\{x_{\{1\},2}, x_{\{2\},1}, x_{\{1\},3}\}$ is sufficient because

$$(x_{\{2\},1} - x_{\{1\},2})x_{\emptyset,1} = x_{\{1\},2}(x_{\{2\},1} - x_{\{1\},2}),$$

$$x_{\{12\},3}(x_{\{1\},3} - x_{\{1\},2}) = (x_{\{1\},3} - x_{\{1\},2})x_{\{1\},3}$$

and $\{x_{\{12\},2}, x_{\{1\},2}, x_{\emptyset,1}\}$ is a defining set of pseudo-roots.

The sets $\{x_{\{1\},2}, x_{\{2\},1}, x_{\emptyset,3}\}$ and $\{x_{\{1\},3}, x_{\{1\},2}, x_{\emptyset,1}\}$ also are sufficient but not defining sets in Q_3 .

4. The set $W = \{x_{\{12\},3}, x_{\{3\},2}, x_{\emptyset,1}\}$ is not sufficient because d - and u -operations are not defined on elements of W . We believe that coefficients of $P(t)$ cannot be written as rational expressions in elements of W , but we do not have a proof of this statement.

A proof of Theorem 1.4.6 follows from a more general theorem for algebras associated with directed graphs (quivers). We will describe such algebras in the next section.

2. ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH DIRECTED GRAPHS

The class of algebras defined in this section contains the universal algebra of pseudo-roots Q_n .

2.1. Directed graphs. In this subsection we recall some well-known definitions and results about directed graphs.

A directed graph Γ is a pair of sets $\Gamma = (V, E)$ and a map $\phi : E \rightarrow V \times V$. Elements of V are called *vertices* of Γ and elements $e \in E$ are called *edges* of Γ .

Let $\phi(e) = (t(e), h(e))$. The vertex $t(e)$ is called the *tail* of e and the vertex $h(e)$ is called the *head* of e .

A directed path P of length k in Γ from a vertex u to a vertex v is a sequence of edges $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$ such that $t(e_{i+1}) = h(e_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ and $t(e_1) = u$, $h(e_k) = v$. The vertex u is denoted by $t(P)$ and called the *tail* or *origin* of P . The vertex v is denoted by $h(P)$ and called the *head* or *terminus* of P . The length of P is denoted by $l(P)$.

An edge $e \in E$ is called *essential* if there is no path P such that $l(P) \geq 2$ and $t(e) = t(P)$, $h(e) = h(P)$. A directed path P is called *maximal* if all its edges are essential.

A vertex $u \in V$ is called a *source* if there is no edge $e \in E$ such that $h(e) = u$. A vertex $v \in V$ is called a *sink* if there is no edge $f \in E$ such that $t(f) = v$.

A directed graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is called a *layered graph* if there is a function r from V to the set of non-negative integers $\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $r(t(e)) - 1 = r(h(e))$ for any edge $e \in E$.

Definition 2.1.1. A directed graph is called a modular graph if:

- (1) For any two edges e_1, e_2 with a common tail there exist edges f_1, f_2 with a common head such that $h(e_i) = t(f_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$;
- (2) For any two edges h_1, h_2 with a common head there exist edges g_1, g_2 with a common tail such that $h(g_i) = t(h_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

We do not require the uniqueness of f_1, f_2 and h_1, h_2 .

2.2. Examples of directed graphs. To any partially ordered set I there corresponds the directed graph Γ_I called the *Hasse graph* of I . Its vertices are elements $x \in I$ and its edges are pairs $e = (x, y) \in I \times I$ such that y is an immediate predecessor of x (in other words, $y < x$ and there is no $z \in I$ such that $y < z < x$.) The element x is the tail of e and the element y is the head of e .

Recall that a partially ordered set I with a function $r : I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ is called a *ranked poset* with the *ranking function* if $r(x) > r(y)$ for any $x > y$. Then r turns the corresponding Hasse graph Γ_I into a layered graph.

To any maximal (minimal) element in I there corresponds a source (sink) in Γ_I . Standard examples of ranked partially ordered sets are as follows.

1. To any set S corresponds the partially ordered set $\mathcal{P}(S)$ of all subsets of S . The order relation is given by inclusion and $r(A)$ equals the cardinality of A .

2. A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$ is called a *complex* if $B \in \mathcal{F}$ and $A \subseteq B$ imply $A \in \mathcal{F}$. The order and the ranking function on $\mathcal{P}(S)$ induce an order and a ranking function on $\mathcal{F}$.

3. To any finite-dimensional vector space E over a field $\mathcal{K}$ corresponds the partially ordered set $\mathcal{V}(E)$ of all vector subspaces of E . The order relation is given by inclusion and $r(V)$ is the dimension of V .

4. To any natural number n there corresponds the partially ordered set of partitions of n , i.e., weakly decreasing sets of natural numbers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ such that $n = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k$. The cardinality of λ is called the *length* of the partition. Recall that $\lambda \leq \mu$ if $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{i_1}, \mu_{i_1+1}, \dots, \mu_{i_2}, \mu_{i_2+1}, \dots, \mu_{i_k})$ and $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_{i_1}$, $\lambda_2 = \mu_{i_1+1} + \dots + \mu_{i_2}$, $\dots$, $\lambda_k = \mu_{i_{k-1}+1} + \dots + \mu_{i_k}$. The ranking function $r(\lambda)$ is the length of λ .

The corresponding Hasse graphs are layered graphs. Another important example of a layered graph is the graph of right divisors of a monic polynomial.

2.3. The graph of right divisors. Let $P(t)$ be a monic polynomial over an associative algebra R and S be a set of pseudo-roots of $P(t)$. Denote by R_S the subalgebra in R generated by pseudo-roots $x \in S$.

Construct a layered graph $\Gamma(P, S) = (V, E)$,

$$V = V(n) \sqcup V(n-1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup V(1) \sqcup V(0)$$

as follows. The vertices of $V(k) = \{v \in V : r(v) = k\}$ are monic polynomials $B(t) \in R[t]$ such that $\deg B(t) = k$ and

$$P(t) = Q(t)B(t)$$

in $R[t]$.

We say that there is an edge from vertex $B_1(t)$ to $B_2(t)$ in Γ if

$$B_1(t) = (t - x)B_2(t)$$

for some $x \in S$.

Note that $V(n)$ consists of one vertex $v = P(t)$ and $V(0)$ consists of one vertex $w = 1$.

2.4. From graphs to algebras and polynomials. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a directed graph. Fix a field k . Let $T(E)$ be the free associative algebra over k with generators $e \in E$. To any directed path $\pi = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k)$ in Γ there corresponds a polynomial $U_\pi(t) \in T(E)[t]$

$$U_\pi(t) = (t - e_1)(t - e_2) \dots (t - e_k).$$

The following definition was introduced in [GRSW].

Definition 2.4.1. The algebra $A(\Gamma)$ is the quotient algebra of $T(E)$ modulo the following relations:

$$(2.4.1) \quad U_{\pi_1}(t) = U_{\pi_2}(t)$$

in $T(E)$ for any two paths π_1 and π_2 that common beginning and common end.

For two vertices v, w in Γ define the following polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{v,w}(t)$ over $A(\Gamma)$:

If there exists a path $\pi = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$ from v to w , set

$$\mathcal{P}_{v,w}(t) = (t - f_1)(t - f_2) \dots (t - f_m)$$

in $A(\Gamma)[t]$. If there are no paths from v to w , we set $\mathcal{P}_{v,w} = 1$.

The construction of algebra $A(\Gamma)$ implies that the polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{v,w}(t)$ does not depend of the choice of a path from v to w .

If the set of vertices of Γ contains one source u and one sink z , then the polynomial $\mathcal{P}(t) = \mathcal{P}_{u,z}(t)$ is called the polynomial associated to graph Γ .

The following theorem implies that in many important cases algebras $A(\Gamma)$ are defined by linear and quadratic relations. These relations correspond to diamonds in Γ .

Theorem 2.4.2. *If Γ is a modular layered graph then defining relations for algebra $A(\Gamma)$ can be given by formulas (2.4.1) when $l(P_1) = l(P_2)$.*

Example. If Γ is the Hasse graph for the set of all subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ then $A(\Gamma)$ coincides with the universal algebra of pseudo-roots Q_n .

Remark. It is natural to study quotient algebras $A_0(\Gamma)$ of algebras $A(\Gamma)$ given by relations $ef = 0$ for all pairs of edges e, f in Γ such that $h(e) \neq t(f)$.

2.5. Universality of $A(\Gamma)$.

Let R be an algebra, $P(t)$ a monic polynomial of degree n over R , and S a set of pseudo-roots of $P(t)$. Let $\Gamma(P, S)$ be the layered graph constructed in Section 2.3. It contains two marked vertices: the source $v = P(t)$ and the sink $w = 1$.

Assume that the set S contains pseudo-roots $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ such that

$$P(t) = (t - a_1)(t - a_2) \dots (t - a_n).$$

Then the graph $\Gamma(P, S)$ contains a directed path from $v = P(t)$ to $w = 1$.

Following Section 2.4, we construct algebra $A(\Gamma(P, S))$ and polynomial $\mathcal{P}(t)$ over this algebra.

Theorem 2.5.1. *There is a canonical homomorphism*

$$(2.5.1) \quad \alpha : A(\Gamma(P, S)) \rightarrow R$$

such that the induced homomorphism of polynomial algebras

$$\hat{\alpha} : A(\Gamma(P, S))[t] \rightarrow R[t]$$

maps $\mathcal{P}(t)$ to $P(t)$.

Proof. To an edge e in Γ there corresponds a pair of polynomials $B_1(t), B_2(t)$ in $R[t]$ such that $B_1(t), B_2(t)$ divide $P(t)$ from the right and $B_1(t) = (t - a)B_2(t)$.

Set

$$\alpha(e) = a.$$

One can see that this map can be uniquely extended to the homomorphism (2.5.1) and that $\hat{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}(t)) = P(t)$.

2.6. Iterated constructions. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a layered graph, $V = \cup_{k=0}^n V(k)$. Assume that $V(n)$ contains exactly one vertex u , $V(0)$ contains exactly one vertex z and there is a directed path from u to z . Denote by E_0 the subset of all edges $e \in E$ such that there is a directed path from u to z containing e . Denote by Γ_0 the subgraph of Γ generated by E_0 .

Following Section 2.4, construct the algebra $A(\Gamma)$ and the polynomial $\mathcal{P}(t)$. It is clear that E_0 can be canonically identified with a set of pseudo-roots of $\mathcal{P}(t)$. This identification establishes an isomorphism between the graphs Γ_0 and $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}(t))$.

3. SUFFICIENT SETS OF EDGES FOR DIRECTED GRAPHS

In this section we will define and study sufficient set of edges in directed graphs $\Gamma = (V, E)$. These sets will provide us with a construction of sufficient sets of pseudo-roots of polynomials $\mathcal{P}(t)$ over algebras $A(\Gamma)$. All graphs considered in this sections are *simple* (i.e., if $t(e) = t(f)$ and $h(e) = h(f)$ then $e = f$) and *acyclic* (i.e., there are no directed paths P such that $t(P) = h(P)$).

3.1. D - and U -operation for directed graphs. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a directed graph.

Definition 3.1.1.

- (1) A pair of edges f_1, f_2 with a common head is obtained from the pair e_1, e_2 with a common tail by D -operation if $h(e_i) = t(f_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$;
- (2) A pair of edges e'_1, e'_2 with a common tail is obtained from the pair f'_1, f'_2 with a common head by U -operation if $h(e'_i) = t(f'_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Remark. We do not require the uniqueness of D - and U -operations.

Definition 3.1.2. A subset $E_0 \subseteq E$ is called DU -complete (or simply complete) if the results of any D -operation or any U -operation applied to edges from E_0 belong to E_0 .

Proposition 3.1.3. For any subset $F \subseteq E$ there exists a minimal complete set $\hat{F} \subseteq E$ containing F .

We call $\hat{F}$ the *completion* of F .

To any directed graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ there corresponds the *double directed graph* $\tilde{\Gamma} = (V, E \sqcup E_-)$ with the same set of vertices and the doubled set of edges $E \sqcup E_-$. Here E_- is a copy of E and to any edge $e \in E$ there corresponds the *opposite* edge $-e \in E_-$ such that $h(-e) = t(e)$ and $t(-e) = h(e)$.

Edges $e \in E$ are called *positive edges* and edges $-e \in E_-$ are called *negative edges*.

Recall that a path from $v \in V$ to $w \in V$ is a set of edges $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k)$ in the double directed graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $t(f_1) = v$, $h(f_k) = w$ and $t(f_{i+1}) = h(f_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. A path is called *positive* if all its edges are positive.

Any subset of edges G generates a subgraph of $\Gamma(G) = (V(G), G)$ where $V(G)$ is the union of all heads and tails of edges $e \in G$.

A set of vertices $W \subseteq V$ is *connected* if for any vertices $v, w \in W$ there exists a path from v to w . A set of edges G is *connected* if $V(G)$ is connected.

3.2. Ample sets and sufficient sets. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a directed graph.

Definition 3.2.1. A set of edges G in Γ is called *sufficient* if its completion $\hat{G}$ contains a positive path from a source to a sink.

Definition 3.2.2. A set of vertices $W \subseteq V$ is called *ample* if

- (1) For any non-sink vertex $v \in V$ there exists a vertex $u \in W$ such that there is no positive path in Γ from u to v ;
- (2) For any non-source vertex $v \in V$ there exists a vertex $w \in W$ such that there is no positive path in Γ from v to w .

A set of edges is called ample if the set of its tails and heads is ample.

As an example, consider the graph Γ_n of all subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. It has one source $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and one sink $\emptyset$.

It is clear that a family of vertices $F = \{B_1, \dots, B_K\}$ is ample if and only if for each $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there is $B_k \in F$ such that $l \in B_k$, and $B_{k'} \in F$ such that $l \notin B_{k'}$. This immediately provides examples of ample sets of edges in Γ_n .

Proposition 3.2.3. *A set of edges $(A_1, i_1), (A_2, i_2), \dots, (A_n, i_n)$ in Γ_n is ample if all $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ are distinct.*

Proof. Since all $i_1, \dots, i_n$ are distinct, we can renumber the edges in our set so that this set becomes $\{(A_1, 1), \dots, (A_n, n)\}$ with $i \notin A_i$. Then the corresponding set of vertices is

$$\{A_1, A_1 \cup \{1\}, A_2, A_2 \cup \{2\}, \dots, A_n, A_n \cup \{n\}\}$$

(possibly with repetitions), and it is clear that for each $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$l \in A_l \cup \{l\}, \quad l \notin A_l.$$

Theorem 3.2.4. *Any ample connected set of edges of a finite modular directed graph is a sufficient set.*

Our proof of the theorem is based on the following lemma. Let F be a complete connected set of edges in a modular directed graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$.

Lemma 3.2.5. *Let $v, u \in V(F)$ and there is no positive paths in $\Gamma(F)$ from u to v . Then*

- (1) *There exists an edge $f \in F$ such that $t(f) = v$, and*
- (2) *There exists an edge $e \in F$ such that $h(e) = u$.*

Proof. We will prove the first part of the lemma. The second part can be proved in a similar way.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all shortest paths in $\Gamma(F)$ from u to v . By assumption, $\mathcal{P}$ does not contain a positive path. Then any path $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is defined by the sequence of edges $(\dots, -f_{n-k(P)}, f_{n-k(P)+1}, \dots, f_n)$ from F .

Take $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $k(P)$ is minimal. We claim that $k(P) = 0$. In fact, if $k(P) \neq 0$, then one can take

$$D(f_{n-k(P)+1}, f_{n-k(P)}) = (g_{n-k(P)+1}, g_{n-k(P)}).$$

Note that $g_{n-k(P)+1}, g_{n-k(P)} \in F$ because F is complete. Also, $g_{n-k(P)+1} \neq f_{n-k(P)+2}$ otherwise P is not the shortest path.

Construct a new path $P' \in \mathcal{P}$ by replacing the edges $-f_{n-k(P)}, f_{n-k(P)+1}$ with the edges $g_{n-k(P)}, -g_{n-k(P)+1}$.

Then $k(P') = k(P) - 1$ and we got a contradiction.

Therefore, $k(P) = 0$ and we may set $f = f_n$. This proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 3.2.4. Let H be an ample set of edges in a finite direct modular graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ and let $\hat{H}$ be the completion of H . Let P be a path of maximal length in $\Gamma(\hat{H})$. Denote by u the beginning of this path and by v the end of this path.

We claim that u is a source and v is a sink in Γ .

Indeed, suppose v is not a sink. Since G is an ample set, there exist a vertex $w \in V(H)$ such that there is no positive path in $\Gamma(\hat{H})$ from w to v . Lemma 3.2.5 implies that there is an edge $f \in \hat{H}$ such that $t(f) = v$. By adding f to P one gets a direct path in $\Gamma(\hat{H})$ from u to $h(v)$. Then P is not a path of maximal length in $\Gamma(\hat{H})$ and we got a contradiction. It proves that v is a sink.

Similarly, one can prove that u is a source. The theorem is proved.

3.3. Sufficient sets of edges and sufficient sets of pseudo-roots.

Now let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a directed graph such that

- (1) Γ contains a unique source M and a unique sink m ;
- (2) For each vertex $v \in V$ there exist a positive path from M to v and a positive path from v to m .

Recall that we associate to Γ an algebra $A(\Gamma)$ and a polynomial $\mathcal{P}(t) \in A(\Gamma)[t]$. The polynomial $\mathcal{P}(t)$ is constructed using a positive path from M to m in Γ , but it does not depend on the path. To any edge $e \in E$ there corresponds to a pseudo-root $e \in A(\Gamma)$ of $\mathcal{P}(t)$, and to any positive path $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$ from M to m in Γ there corresponds the factorization

$$(3.3.1) \quad \mathcal{P}(t) = (t - e_1)(t - e_2) \dots (t - e_n)$$

of $\mathcal{P}(t)$ over $A(\Gamma)$.

Let $e_1, e_2 \in E$ be edges with the common tail and $f_1, f_2 \in E$ be edges with the common head such that $h(e_i) = t(f_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. From the definition of algebra $A(\Gamma)$ it follows that $e_1 + f_1 = e_2 + f_2$ and $e_1 f_1 = e_2 f_2$. These formulas imply the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3.1. *For $i, j = 1, 2$, $i \neq j$ we have*

$$e_i(f_i - f_j) = (f_i - f_j)f_i,$$

$$e_i(e_i - e_j) = (e_i - e_j)f_i.$$

Corollary 3.3.2. *If the pair f_1, f_2 is obtained from the pair e_1, e_2 by D -operation then the elements f_1, f_2 in $A(\Gamma)$ are obtained from the elements e_1, e_2 by d -operations. If the pair g_1, g_2 is obtained from the pair h_1, h_2 by U -operation then the elements g_1, g_2 in $A(\Gamma)$ are obtained from the elements h_1, h_2 by d -operations.*

Corollary 3.3.3. *If $S \subseteq E$ is an ample set then there exists a factorization (3.1) of $P(t)$ such that du -completion of S contains elements $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ and, therefore, coefficients of $P(t)$.*

Corollary 3.3.3 and Theorem 3.2.4 implies the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3.4. *Let $S \subseteq E$ be an ample connected set of edges in a modular directed graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$. Then there exists a factorization (3.1) of $\mathcal{P}(t)$ such that du -completion of S contains elements $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ and, therefore, coefficients of $\mathcal{P}(t)$.*

Let Γ be the graph of all subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Theorem 1.4.6 follows from Theorem 3.3.4 and Proposition 3.2.3 applied to the graph Γ .

We will also construct two “perpendicular” examples of connected ample sets of edges in Γ .

Example 1. Take $S = \{x_{\emptyset,1}, x_{\emptyset,2}, \dots, x_{\emptyset,n}\}$. The set S is connected and ample. It is easy to see that any edge in Γ belongs to the DU -completion of S . Therefore, the du -completion of S contains elements $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ for any factorization of $P(t)$.

Example 2. Take $T = \{x_{12\dots n-1,n}, x_{12\dots n-2,n-1}, \dots, x_{\emptyset,1}\}$. The set T is also connected and ample. Its DU -completion coincides with T and its du -completion defines a factorization of $\mathcal{P}(t)$.

Other examples of sufficient sets of pseudo-roots were considered in Section 1.

REFERENCES

- [GR1] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh, *Gelfand Mathematical Seminars 1993-95*, Birkhauser Boston, 1996, pp. 93-100.
- [GR2] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh, *Quasideterminants I*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **3** (1997), 517-546.
- [GGRSW] I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, S. Serconek, and R. Wilson, *Hilbert series of quadratic algebras associated with decompositions of noncommutative polynomials*, J. Algebra **254** (2002), 279-299.
- [GGRW] I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R. Wilson, *Quasideterminants*, Advances in Math. **193** (2005), 56-141.
- [GRW] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh, and R. Wilson, *Quadratic-linear algebras associated with decompositions of noncommutative polynomials and differential polynomials*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **7** (2001), 493-523.

I.G., V.R., R.W.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ

08854-8019

S.G.: AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY, 201 CHARLES STREET, PROVIDENCE, RI 02904-2213